



Office of  
The Chief  
Coroner

Bureau du  
coroner  
en chef

Verdict of Coroner’s Jury  
Verdict du jury du coroner

We the undersigned  
Nous soussigné

M C  
PC  
KM  
W G  
P M

of de South Frontenac Township, Ontario  
of de Kingston, Ontario  
of de Kingston, Ontario  
of de South Frontenac Township, Ontario  
of de Kingston, Ontario

the jury serving on the inquest into the death of / dûment assermentés, formant le jury dans l’enquête sure le décès de:

Surname / Nom de famille GROZELLE  
Given names / Prénom JOSEPH THEODORE

aged 21 held at 1 Johnson Street, KINGSTON, Ontario  
âgé(e) de qui a été menée à

from the 19th March to the 26th April 20 07  
du a la

By Par Dr. DAVID EDEN  
Coroner for Ontario  
coroner pour l’Ontario

having been duly sworn, have inquired into and determined the following:/ avons enquêté at avons déterminé ce qui suit:

1.	Name of deceased Nom du (de la) défunt(e)	Joseph Theodore Grozelle
2.	Date and time of death Date et heure du décès	November 13, 2003 8:50 am
3.	Place of Death Lieu de décès	North East of LaSalle Causeway Kingston, Ontario
4.	Cause of death Cause du décès	Unascertained, Non-Natural Causes
5.	By what means Circonstances entourant le décès	Undetermined

Original signed by: Foreman/Président du jury

Original signed by jurors/jurés

The verdict was received on the 26th day of April 20 07  
Ce verdict a été reçu par moi le

DR. DAVID EDEN

Original signed by Coroner

## **GROZELLE INQUEST**

### **JURY RECOMMENDATIONS**

- 1) We endorse the continued use of pre-autopsy conferences to ensure that the pathologist or forensic pathologist is provided with all the information relevant to the autopsy. This may, in some cases, include consultation with other experts including, but not limited to, the Forensic Pathology Unit in Toronto. In some cases this may also include further consultation after the autopsy, but before the body is released for burial.
- 2) We endorse the continued promotion, awareness and periodic review of the “Guidelines on Autopsy for Forensic Pathologists” relating to autopsy procedures in suspicious deaths. This policy is dated July 2005.
- 3) We recommend that the Coroner’s Office periodically review the “Protocol When Conducting Sudden Death Investigations”, as outlined in Memorandum #00-04 dated June 29, 2000.
- 4) We recommend the Coroner’s Office include all police investigative agencies, federal investigative agencies, and Coroners on its distribution list for relevant protocols, policies and guidelines, including but not limited to the “Protocol When Conducting Sudden Death Investigations.”
- 5) In any death investigations, we encourage ongoing two-way communications between the supervising and investigating coroners about the status of the investigation, any significant developments, and their roles and responsibilities.
- 6) In complex suspicious death investigations, we recommend the practice of designating a single contact person or liaison for the family. We also endorse the current practice of establishing a single point of contact between investigating agencies.
- 7) We recommend the Coroner’s Office facilitate further discussion regarding death investigations in areas of federal jurisdiction to assist all investigative agencies (federal, provincial or municipal) in reaching a mutual understanding as to their respective roles and responsibilities.
- 8) We recommend all investigative agencies (federal, provincial and municipal) review or develop, and educate investigators on best practices regarding computer and other data storage hardware, which may be used as evidence in an investigation.
- 9) We support the Coroner’s Office continued development of policy with regard to mandatory evaluation of Coroners after age 65.
- 10) We recommend a review of the procedures for ensuring accuracy on the Medical Certificate of Death.